



# Envirothon NB

## Judges' Scoring Sheet for Team Presentations

Team Number \_\_\_\_\_  
 Room Number \_\_\_\_\_  
 Judges Initials \_\_\_\_\_

Scale for Scoring			
0 –	Not at all	6 –	Good
2 –	poor or poorly	8 –	Excellent
4 –	fair or slightly well	10 –	Outstanding

Part I Preparation & Presentation of Plan (60 points maximum)	Circle score
---	--------------

A. How well did the presentation address or identify :	
• The interrelationship between the environment, natural resources, and the different natural resource management strategies?	0 2 4 6 8 10
• All the different players/interest groups affected by the problem?	0 2 4 6 8 10
• The major natural resources areas (soils/land use, aquatic ecology, forestry, wildlife)?	0 2 4 6 8 10
• The current issue?	0 2 4 6 8 10
• The specific environmental problem and related issues regarding the problem?	0 2 4 6 8 10
B. Were references and resources cited in the team presentation?	0 2 4 6 8 10
<b>Part I – Subtotal</b>	

Part II – Application of Data (80 points maximum)	Circle score
---	--------------

A. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of political issue(s) related to the problem (regulations, mandates, impact on political system/community).	0 2 4 6 8 10
B. Team demonstrated a solid understanding of ecological/environmental issue(s) related to the problem.	0 2 4 6 8 10
C. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of economic issue(s) related to the problem including the cost and benefits of the proposed plan, (cost of implementing the plan, economic impact on local resources, cost of doing nothing, future costs, funding source(s), etc.).	0 2 4 6 8 10
D. The team demonstrated a solid understanding of social and/or cultural issue(s) related to the problem (private property rights, traditions, clean and healthy environment, right to farm, urban issues, cultural issues, environmental justice).	0 2 4 6 8 10
E. The team presented one viable solution to the problem addressing the resource issue.	0 2 4 6 8 10
F. The main parts were clearly stated and supported.	0 2 4 6 8 10
G. Solution in the presentation has potential to be applied or implemented with long term sustainability to natural resources.	0 2 4 6 8 10
H. Did the solution reflect or address the concerns of all affected groups and issues?	0 2 4 6 8 10
<b>Part II – Subtotal</b>	

Part III – Quality of Presentation (40 points maximum)	Circle score
--	--------------

A. Presentation was well organized with a clear introduction and strong conclusion.	0 2 4 6 8 10
B. Participants enhanced the presentation (eye contact, gestures, voice inflection, originality, exhibited professionalism, etc).	0 2 4 6 8 10
C. Visual aids were used to make major points and show conclusions. (Visual aids should be correct, eye appealing, readable, neat, etc.).	0 2 4 6 8 10
D. Questions were answered logically and concisely by all team members participating.	0 2 4 6 8 10
<b>Part III – Subtotal</b>	

Part IV – Required Elements (20 points maximum)	Circle score
---	--------------

A. Add up to ten points for team member participants in presentation. (Each team member gets up to 2 points for equal oral participation in presentation).	0 2 4 6 8 10
B. Add up to five points if the presentation was accomplished in the allotted time scale and the team made effective use of their time. (Time scale is 17-20 minutes.).	0 1 2 3 4 5
C. Add up to five points if the presentation accomplished the task of presenting a plan.	0 1 2 3 4 5
<b>Part IV – Subtotal</b>	

5 pts : 17-20 min	4 pts : 15-16 min	3 pts : 13-14 min	2 pts : 11-12 min	1 pt : 9-10 min
-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----------------

<b>Final Score</b>	
<b>Total points for part I (60 maximum)</b>	
<b>Total points for part II (80 maximum)</b>	
<b>Total points for part III (40 maximum)</b>	
<b>Total points for part IV (20 maximum)</b>	
<b>Final Score (out of 200 points)</b>	